This article was downloaded by: On: *18 January 2011* Access details: *Access Details: Free Access* Publisher *Taylor & Francis* Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



To cite this Article Bermejo, J. and Guillen, M. D.(1985) 'Biparameter Equations for Calculating Kovats Retention Indices of Hydrocarbons', International Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry, 23: 1, 77 – 86 To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/03067318508076436 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03067318508076436

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

Intern. J. Environ. Anal. Chem., 1985, Vol. 23, pp. 77-86 0306-7319/85/2302-0077 \$18.50/0 © 1985 Gordon and Breach, Science Publishers, Inc. and OPA Ltd. Printed in Great Britain

Biparameter Equations for Calculating Kováts Retention Indices of Hydrocarbons[†]

J. BERMEJO and M. D. GUILLEN

Instituto Nacional del Carbón y sus Derivados, C.S.I.C., Ap. 73, 33080 Oviedo, Spain

(Received January 15, 1985; in final form May 25, 1985)

Biparameter equations, in which one parameter is the boiling point of the solutes and the other is any of their physicochemical properties able to account for dispersive solute-stationary phase interactions, are obtained by regression analysis. These equations permit the calculation of Kováts retention index (I_R) for aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons in complex mixtures with standard deviations close to experimental error. The linear change of regression coefficients with the work temperature allow us to obtain equations suitable for calculating I_R at any temperature on a given stationary phase. Furthermore, accurate values for the magnitudes included in the equations can be obtained starting from I_R values.

When alkylbenzenes are separated on polar phases, such as Carbowax 20M, it is necessary to add a new parameter accounting for inductive interactions. Once again, regression coefficients, except that of the boiling point which remains constant, change linearly with the polarity of the phase (Tarjan's scale) enabling the obtaining of an equation for calculating I_R on any stationary phase at a given work temperature, although constant deviations between calculated and experimental I_R , on polymeric stationary phases, are found.

KEY WORDS: Hydrocarbons, structure-retention relationships, Kováts retention index, gas chromatography.

[†]Presented at the 2nd Workshop on Chemistry and Analysis of Hydrocarbons in the Environment, Barcelona, November 19–20, 1984.

INTRODUCTION

Chromatographic retention parameters give limited information on the nature of the solutes. Works carried out for establishing relationships between structural properties and gas-chromatographic parameters of solutes have led to some practical but limited applications.¹⁻⁶ Since chromatographic retention is a consequence of solute-stationary phase interactions, some physicochemical properties or structural parameters which might account for these interactions have been related to Kováts retention index (I_R), but, with the exception of compounds of homologous series, the results obtained have been unsatisfactory with regard to the prediction of I_R .⁷⁻⁸

The application to gas chromatography (GC) of the dielectric approach based on the theory of non-specific solvation⁹ leads to Eq. (1) for I_R ,

$$I_R = I_R^0 + pP + qQ + rR + \cdots \tag{1}$$

in which I_R^0 would be the retention index of the solutes in the absence of solute-stationary phase interactions; P, Q, R, \ldots represent independent but complementary solute parameters which account for the different interaction mechanisms such as dispersion, induction, orientation, and other effects; and p, q, r, \ldots are the regression coefficients which describe the sensitivity of the retention process to the different solute-phase interaction mechanisms.

Since I_R^0 is linearly related to the boiling point (T_b) , Eq. (1) takes the general form of

$$I_R = m + nT_b + pP + qQ + rR + \cdots.$$
⁽²⁾

When the polarity of solutes is low as in the case of the hydrocarbons, and they are separated on non-polar or low-polar phases, mainly dispersive interactions must be expected. Therefore Eq. (2) can be reduced to Eq. (3) in which P represents any parameter or physicochemical property accounting for dispersive solute-phase interactions. This

$$I_R = m + nT_b + pP \tag{3}$$

kind of interaction is related to electronic polarisability of solutes

and therefore to structural parameters or physicochemical properties such as their first-order molecular connectivity (χ) , van der Waal's volume (V_W) , molar volume (V_M) , and molar refraction (R_M) .^{10–11} In this paper we report the equations obtained by regressing I_R , T_b and P of different families of hydrocarbons and their possible applications.

EXPERIMENTAL

Retention indices were taken from the literature.¹²⁻¹⁴ Boiling points, refractive indices (n_D) , and densities were taken also from the literature.¹⁵ Molar refraction, van der Waal's volume, and molecular connectivity were calculated according to Lorentz–Lorentz, Bondi,¹⁶ and Randic¹⁷ expressions, respectively. The molar volume is the ratio between molecular weight and density at 20°C. Regression coefficients and statistics were calculated by a multiple regression linear program in a HP 1000 computer.

RESULTS

The attempts to establish relationships between I_R of aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons and their T_h and P were not successful. However, when data introduced in Eq. (3) belonged to compounds of a given family, the equations obtained exhibited standard deviations close to the experimental error. Thus, for a population of 7 linear alkanes from C_3 to C_9 carbon atoms; 33 methyl- and ethylbranched alkanes, from C_5 to C_9 , with one or more appendages; and 15 cyclic alkanes, which include cis and trans isomers, from cyclobutane to cyclooctane, some of them with methyl-, ethyl-, propyl-, or isopropyl- groups, and using I_R at 50°C on Squalane¹⁴ the equations shown in Table I were obtained. Regression coefficients of the four equations change in parallel with the temperature and the polarity of the phase, from which it may be deduced that the parameters used have similar chromatographic meaning. Regression coefficients of equations $I_R = f(T_b, R_M)$ change linearly with the column temperature (Table II), therefore it is possible to establish an equation for calculating I_R of alkanes at any temperature within this

TABLE I

Equations $I_R = f(T_b, P)$, where $P = V_W$, V_M , χ , or R_M for 7 lineal (C₃-C₉), 15 cyclic (C₅-C₈), and 33 branched (C₅-C₉) alkanes

Equations	R	S	N
$\overline{I_R = 192.44 + 3.96T_b + 967.05(10/V_w)}$	0.9989	5.5	55
$I_R = 244.53 + 3.65T_b + 1480.86(10/V_M)$	0.9972	8.8	55
$I_R = 122.81 + 4.33T_b + 52.14(10/\chi)$	0.9973	8.7	55
$I_R = 156.38 + 4.12T_b + 498.84(10/R_M)$	0.9995	3.7	55

R is the correlation coefficient, s standard deviation, and N number of experimental points.

TABLE II Equations $I_R = f(T_b, R_M)$ for alkanes at several temperatures

<i>t</i> (°C)	Equations	R	s	Ν
27	$I_R = 181.63 + 3.98T_b + 446.40(10/R_M)$	0.9994	3.9	46
50	$I_{R} = 163.91 + 4.08T_{b} + 484.24(10/R_{M})$	0.9995	3.7	47
67	$I_{R} = 161.42 + 4.09T_{b} + 492.36(10/R_{M})$	0.9994	4.0	47
86	$I_R = 138.27 + 4.21T_b + 541.04(10/R_M)$	0.9991	5.1	47

All definitions are as in Table I.

range. For example, with data obtained from equations in Table II, Eq. (4) was obtained

$$I_{R} = 200.72 + 3.88 T_{b} + 4042.56(1/R_{M}) + [0.0036 T_{b} + 15.09(1/R_{M}) - 0.69]t.$$
(4)

This equation allows the prediction of the I_R values of alkanes on Squalane at any temperature with a standard deviation of 4.1 close to the common experimental error.

When the same approach was applied to a group of 40 alkenes and 10 alkynes satisfactory relations between I_R , T_b and P (P being also $= R_M$, V_W , V_M , or χ) were obtained. The family of alkenes consists of C_4 to C_8 carbon atoms alkenes, with only one double bond and methyl- and ethyl-appendages. *Cis*- and *trans*-isomers are also included. Alkynes have only one triple bond; they are linear alkynes between C_4 to C_7 except a methyl substituted hexyne. Table III shows the equations obtained from I_R on Squalane at 49°C.

These relationships permit the accurate calculation of magnitudes included in the equations. For example, retention indices of several alkenes and alkynes, not included in the regression analyses (from which the equations in Table II were obtained) were calculated from equations which contain V_W , an easily calculable structural parameter. The calculated indices are very close to the experimental ones (see Table IV). Accurate values of R_M can also be obtained from I_R and T_b . They are also shown in Table IV together with those calculated by the additive method of Vogel.¹⁸

TABLE III

Equations $I_R = f(T_b, P)$, where $P = R_M$, V_M , V_W , or χ for $C_4 - C_8$ alkenes, and $C_4 - C_7$ alkynes

Familie	Equations	R	S	N
Alkenes	$I_{R} = 247.65 + 3.67T_{b} + 312.19(10/R_{M})$	0.9990	3.4	40
	$I_{R} = 272.29 + 3.49T_{b} + 1155.93(10/V_{M})$	0.9986	3.9	40
	$I_{R} = 249.22 + 3.67T_{b} + 675.71(10/V_{W})$	0.9989	3.6	40
	$I_R = 256.30 + 3.73T_b + 223.12(1/\chi)$	0.9985	4.1	40
Alkynes	$I_{R} = 120.48 + 4.42T_{b} + 420.38(10/R_{M})$	0.9994	3.1	10
	$I_{R} = 156.31 + 4.18T_{h} + 1525.59(10/V_{M})$	0.9994	3.1	10
	$I_{R} = 123.93 + 4.38T_{h} + 965.79(10/V_{W})$	0.9994	3.2	10
	$I_R = 233.55 + 4.06T_b + 153.63(1/\chi)$	0.9986	4.7	10

All definitions are as in Table I.

TABLE IV

 I_R and R_M values calculated from equations in Table III for alkenes and alkynes

Compound	<i>Т_b</i> (°С)	V _W (ml/mol)	I _{R_c}	$I_{\rm R_c}^{12}$	R _M (ml/mol)	$\frac{R_M^{18}}{(\text{ml/mol})}$
cis-2-butene	3.70	44.28	415.4	417.0	20.04	20.16
trans-2-butene	0.88	44.28	405.0	405.0	20.26	20.16
2-methyl-1-propene	-6.90	44.29	376.5	383.0	19.43	20.16
trans-2-hexene	67.87	64.74	602.7	597.0	31.13	29.46
Acetylene	-84.00	23.10	174.1	156.8	10.31	9.22
1-butyne	8.10	43.50	381.4	385.3	18.36	18.51
4-methyl-1-pentyne	61.70	63.95	550.7	552.0	26.47	27.80

The group of 34 alkylbenzenes, listed in Table VII, gives the equations shown in Table V, from I_R on Squalane or Ucon LB 550X, at 100°C. The standard deviation is also close to the experimental error.

When more polar phases are used, such as Carbowax 20M, inductive interactions between the stationary phases and alkylbenzenes must be considered, and equations for I_R have to include a new parameter which accounts for this kind of interaction. Inductive interactions have been related to the ratio between R_M and the molar volume at boiling point.¹⁹ Furthermore, the introduction of functions of the refractive index n_D in the regression analysis causes decreasing of standard deviations of I_R of alkylbenzenes on polar stationary phases.¹⁰ Therefore the parameter $(n_D^2-1)/(n_D^2+2)$ is utilized.

Phase	Equations	R	S	Ν
Squalane	$I_R = 61.79 + 4.68T_b + 546.64(10/R_M)$	0.9995	3.9	34
	$I_R = 120.11 + 4.57T_b + 314.44(1/\chi)$	0.9995	3.6	34
	$I_{R} = 113.50 + 4.54T_{b} + 1482.65(10/V_{M})$	0.9994	4.1	34
	$I_R = 61.84 + 4.74T_b + 1010.19(10/V_w)$	0.9995	3.7	34
Ucon LB 550X	$I_{R} = -62.71 + 5.29T_{b} + 1047.72(10/R_{M})$	0.9995	3.8	34
	$I_R = 59.59 + 5.05T_b + 584.95(1/\chi)$	0.9994	4.2	34
	$I_R = 25.35 + 5.04T_b + 2926.36(10/V_M)$	0.9996	3.5	34
	$I_{R} = -38.05 + 5.32T_{h} + 1834.97(10/V_{W})$	0.9991	5.2	34

TABLE V $I_R = f(T_b, P)$, where $P = R_M$, χ , V_M , or V_W for alkylbenzenes

All definitions are as in Table I.

TABLE VI

Equations .	$l_R = f(T_b,$	V_W, n_D for	or alkylbenzen	es on phases	of different po	larity
-------------	----------------	----------------	----------------	--------------	-----------------	--------

Phas	e Equations	R	S	N
1	$I_R = 40.61 + 4.69T_b + 954.45(10/V_w) + 120.55D$	0.9995	3.7	34
2	$I_R = -258.73 + 4.87T_b + 1255.55(10/V_W) + 1253.25D$	0.9996	3.3	34
3	$I_R = -478.21 + 4.92T_b + 1366.61(10/V_W) + 2120.27D$	0.9996	3.5	34
4	$I_R = -1015.82 + 4.83T_b + 1456.17(10/V_W) + 4359.85D$	0.9986	6.3	34

All definitions are as in Table I. Phase 1, Squalane; Phase 2, Ucon LB 550X; Phase 3, Ucon 50 HB 280X; and Phase 4, Carbowax 20M.

Downloaded At: 20:08 18 January 2011

TABLE VII

Experimental and predicted by Eq. (5) I_R values for alkylbenzenes on several phases

x 20M	ΔI_R	7 +5.5	6 + 22.6	7 + 20.4	8 +21.0	5 + 20.2	7 +21.2	4 +21.4	3 +23.1	5 +19.6	5 +17.6	.6 +17.3	4 + 20.0	7 +19.8	5 + 19.7	7 + 20.2	9 +17.8	0 + 22.4	9 +17.7	6 ±178
bowa	I _R	941.	1020.	1106.	1113.	1120.	1162.	1148.	1182.	1199.	1201.	1219.	1234.	1209.	1252.	1215.	1223.	1238.	1302	1771
Car	I_{R_e}	947.2	1043.2	1127.1	1134.8	1140.7	1183.9	1169.8	1205.4	1219.1	1219.1	1236.9	1254.4	1229.5	1272.2	1235.9	1241.7	1260.4	1320.6	1289.4
280X	ΔI_R	5.7	+2.1	-1.7	+0.7	-0.4	-4.1	+1.5	+1.0	+0.3	-2.3	-0.4	-2.8	+2.1	-1.9	+1.9	+0.8	+6.2	-6.2	+0.8
a 50 HB 2	I_{R_c}	827.2	917.8	1008.9	1016.5	1022.1	1057.5	1058.0	1091.4	1105.1	1107.7	1123.2	1133.5	1121.0	1151.7	1132.0	1137.3	1149.4	1194.8	1180.8
Ucol	I_{R_e}	821.5	919.9	1007.2	1017.2	1021.7	1053.4	1059.5	1092.4	1105.4	1105.4	1122.8	1130.7	1123.1	1149.8	1133.9	1138.1	1155.6	1188.6	1181.6
50X	ΔI_R	-16.8	-9.8	-15.0	-12.6	-14.2	-18.9	-13.1	-14.2	-15.5	-18.3	-14.2	- 19.4	-13.7	- 16.8	-10.8	-13.6	- 7.8	-23.3	-14.8
on LB 55	I_{R_c}	776.0	871.9	965.3	973.1	978.1	1010.5	1017.5	1050.8	1063.0	1065.8	1080.1	1088.4	1081.4	1106.7	1094.6	1098.6	1109.7	1146.5	1140.3
Uc	I_{R_e}	759.2	862.1	950.3	960.5	963.9	991.6	1004.4	1036.6	1047.5	1047.5	1065.9	1069.0	1067.7	1089.9	1083.8	1085.0	1101.9	1123.1	1125.5
	ΔI_R	+ 5.0	+5.4	- 3.8	+2.5	-1.0	-4.6	-5.9	-9.1	- 5.6	-4.5	-1.2	- 7.3	- 8.5	-4.7	-7.9	9.6	+1.4	-10.2	- 9.4
Squalane	I_{R_c}	645.5	754.7	853.8	862.1	865.8	890.6	914.3	947.1	955.3	958.8	970.2	973.3	980.2	991.7	999.2	8.666	1008.5	1023.1	1036.8
	I_{R_c}	650.5	760.1	850.0	864.6	864.8	886.0	908.4	938.0	949.7	954.3	969.0	966.0	971.7	987.0	991.3	990.2	1009.9	1012.9	1027.4
	Compound	BZ	1MBZ	1EBZ	14MMBZ	13MMBZ	12MMBZ	113BZ	13BZ	13EMBZ	14EMBZ	135MMMBZ	12EMBZ	1T4BZ	124MMMBZ	114BZ	1S4BZ	13MI3BZ	123MMMBZ	13EEBZ

TABLE VII (continued)

12/1.4 + 1273.9 + 1287.5	bowax 20M $I_{R_c} \Delta I_R$
	Carl I _{Re}
	$\frac{280X}{\Delta I_R}$
0.0%11	n 50 HB 2 I _{Re}
1170/11	Uco I _{Re}
- 17.4	$\frac{0X}{\Delta I_R}$
0.4.011	on LB 55 I _{Re}
4.0011	U(I _{Re}
0.6-	ΔI_R
TOCOT	Squalane I _{Re}
10+0.J	I _{Re}
	Compound

Abbreviations: M = methyl; E = ethyl; 3 = n-propyl; I3 = isopropyl...

By regressing I_R , T_b , V_W , and $(n_D^2 - 1)/(n_D^2 + 2)$ of the aforementioned alkylbenzenes on phases of polarity between 0 and 70.75 in the Tarjan's scale,²⁰ the equations shown in Table VI are obtained. Regression coefficients of these equations change linearly with the polarity of the stationary phase, except the boiling temperature coefficient *n*, which remains constant, consequently Eq. (5), which includes the polarity of the stationary phase, can be obtained in which $D = (n_D^2 - 1)/(n_D^2 + 2)$, and P_T is the polarity of the stationary phase in the Tarjan's scale

$$I_{R} = 113.73 + 4.83T_{b} + 995.91(10/V_{w}) - 207.31D$$
$$+ [7.22(10/V_{w}) + 59.74D - 14.91]P_{T}.$$
(5)

 I_R values of alkylbenzenes obtained from Eq. (5) are close to the experimental values on Squalane and on Ucon 50HB 280X (P_T = 43.41) (see Table VII), but they are about 14 units higher and 20 units lower than those on Ucon LB 550X and Carbowax 20M respectively. These results show again that polymeric stationary phases are difficult to characterize. These deviations reflect, probably, differences between the tabulated and real polarity of these stationary phases.

References

- 1. J. Takács, Z. Tálas, I. Bernáth, G. Czakó and A. Fischer, J. Chromatogr. 67, 203 (1972).
- 2. R. Wanheertum, J. Chromatogr. Sci. 13, 150 (1975).
- 3. J. Sanz, J. Calderon and M. V. Dabrio, Anal. Quim. 71, 611 (1975).
- 4. J. Sanz, J. Calderon and M. V. Dabrio, Anal. Quim. 73, 345 (1977).
- 5. J. Sanz, J. Calderon and M. V. Dabrio, Anal. Quim. 75, 408 (1980).
- 6. J. E. Dubois and J. Chretien, J. Chromatogr. Sci. 12, 811 (1974).
- 7. J. Bermejo, J. S. Canga and O. M. Gayol, Inter. J. Environ. Anal. Chem. 11, 271 (1982).
- J. Bermejo, J. S. Canga, O. M. Gayol and M. D. Guillen, J. Chromatogr. Sci. 22, 252 (1984).
- I. A. Koppel and V. A. Palm, Advances in Linear Free Energy Relationships (N. B. Chapman and J. Shorter, Plenum Press, London, New York, 1972), Chap. 5, pp. 203-259.
- 10. J. Bermejo and M. D. Guillen, Chromatographia 17, 664 (1983).
- 11. J. Bermejo and M. D. Guillen, HRC& CC 7, 191 (1984).
- 12. R. A. Hively and R. E. J. Hinton, J. Gas Chromatog. 6, 203 (1968).

J. BERMEJO AND M. D. GUILLEN

- 13. D. Tourres, J. Chromatogr. 30, 357 (1967).
- 14. W. Engewald and L. Wennrich, Chromatographia 9, 540 (1976).
- 15. Handbook of Chemistry and Physics (The Chemical Rubber Co., 1977-78), 58th ed., pp. C-81-C-548.
- 16. A. Bondi, J. Phys. Chem. 68, 441 (1964).
- 17. M. Randić, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97, 6609 (1975).
- 18. R. J. W. Le Févre, Adv. Phys. Org. Chem. 3, 1 (1965).
- 19. F. Patte, M. Etcheto and P. Laffort, Anal. Chem. 54, 2239 (1982).
- G. Tarján, A. Kiss, G. Kocsis, S. Mészáros and J. M. Takács, J. Chromatogr. 119, 327 (1976).